

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington D.C. 20201
www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	Koichi Saito	207198	6724
09/706,301	11/03/2000	notes and		
	7590 01/25/2002		EXAMINER EWOLDT, GERALD R	
TWO PRIDE	IT & MAYER, LTD NTIAL PLAZA, SUIT	E 4900		
180 NORTH S	STETSON AVENUE 60601-6780		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II			1644	8
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/200	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/706,301

Applicant(s)

03//0

Saito et al.

Examiner

G. R. Ewoldt

Art Unit 1644



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE M	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE ING. DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
- Extens after - If the	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,	a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (50) days will			
- If NO	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe	eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this			
- Failur	mmunication. e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 3, 200	00 ·			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ✓ This acti				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
		is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
	Claim(s)				
6) 🗆	Claim(s)				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)				
8) 💢		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	tion Papers				
9) <u></u>	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
-,-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.			
11)	diagrammed				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami				
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
a) [All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:				
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have				
*~	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies not received.			
_					
14)	ACKNOWIEUGENENT IS MADE OF A CIAIM FOR DOMESTIC	production of distance of the control of the contro			
Attachm	nent(s)				
- —	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Peper No(s).			
	16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
171 1	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:			

Serial No. 09/706,301 Art Unit 1644

DETAILED ACTION

- This application contains inventions drawn to patentably distinct species. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a specific emulsifier and a specific oil component, and list all Claims readable thereon including those subsequently added. Currently Claims 1-4 are generic.
- Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The different emulsifiers and oil components comprise different chemical and immunological properties. Said emulsifiers and oil components could thus elicit different responses. Therefore, the species are independent and patentable over one another.

- Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner 4. should be directed to Dr. Gerald Ewoldt whose telephone number is (703) 308-9805. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 5:30 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973.

G.R. Ewoldt, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600 December 26, 2001

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600